EVALUATION OF MASTER THESIS
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**Student:**

**Title:**

**Promoter(s) :**

**Co-reader:**

The schedule below is based on Articles 13 and 14 of the LLM Paper Guidelines and Requirements applicable to all 3 Ghent LLM Programmes.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **EVALUATION OF PROCESS (attitude, progress, methodological approach)** | | | | | |
| *Short report with “+” and “-“ points* | | | | | |
| **Evaluation** | | | | | |
| Excellent  ☐ | Very good  ☐ | Good  ☐ | Sufficient  ☐ | Insufficient  ☐ | Weak  ☐ |
|  | | | | | |
| **FINAL PRODUCT EVALUATION - WRITTEN LLM-PAPER**  **Form (language and style, scientific apparatus, structural elements and (sub)titles, layout) and CONTENTS (thoroughness of analysis, logical structure, completeness of sources, clarity of argumentation, insight into the matter, originality)** | | | | | |
| *Short report with “+” and “-“ points* | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | | | |
| **Evaluation** | | | | | |
| Excellent  ☐ | Very good  ☐ | Good  ☐ | Sufficient  ☐ | Insufficient  ☐ | Weak  ☐ |
| **FINAL PRODUCT EVALUATION - ORAL PRESENTATION AND DEFENCE**  **FORM (structure, language and style, persuasiveness, nonverbal communication) and**  **CONTENTS (logical structure and solidity v/d argument, improvisation and answers to the questions from audience)** | | | | | |
| *Short report with “+” and “ –“ points* | | | | | |
| **Evaluation** | | | | | |
| Excellent  ☐ | Very good  ☐ | Good  ☐ | Sufficient  ☐ | Insufficient  ☐ | Weak  ☐ |
|  | | | | | |
| **TOTAL SCORE: proposed by promoter: /20 approved by the other evaluator(s)**  **Counter-proposal: /20, of which an average is /20** | | | | | |

**Date: Signature promoter**